## Approved For Releaseuris, 4-RDP78-00699A000100010010-6

## AT MEETING HELD AT 2:30 P.M. 2 DECEMBER 1953

- 1. Is there a written agreement between the DD/A and the DD/P with respect to the responsibility of the former for supplying qualified personnel for administrative positions in the departmental and field activities? Will a similar agreement be reached with the Assistant Director for Communications, the Director of Training, and the DD/I?
- 2. Certain CSO activities in the fields of Records Management, Machine Records, and Printing and Reproduction in the departmental area are semewhat in competition with respect to similar personnel in other activities of the Agency. This is perticularly true in the machine records activity of OCD and the Contact Division, OO; the printing and photographic activities of TSS; and the library and records personnel of OCD and RI, FI, How will this problem be handled from a career service point of view?
- 3. Should there be a uniform Agency policy with respect to the number of times an employee may refuse an overseas assignment? Also, under what conditions may an employee refuse an overseas assignment? The lack of uniformity among Career Service Boards in this respect could lead to inequities and undesirable competition for personnel.
- 4. What disciplinary action, if any, will Career Service Boards take against an employee who consistently refuses to accept overseas assignments without adequate reasons? This will become a problem particularly where personnel are promoted with the understanding that they will accept overseas assignments. Such cases may involve reductions in grade, reassignments, and the like, which, without an Agency policy, may also result in employee appearls as a result of disciplinary action.
- 5. What is the tenure of service overseas to be required of any employee? How many overseas assignments may an employee be required to accept, and at what point may he refuse to accept such assignments? There is a need for uniformity and Agency policy in this respect.
- 6. The recent responsibility undertaken by administrative activities with respect to supplying personnel for overseas duty involves a number of administrative considerations. To what extent does flexibility with respect to funds, personnel ceilings, and classification exist in order that administrative offices may be in a position to continually supply overseas personnel without seriously affecting their ability to support departmental activities? The lack of such administrative flexibility would seriously impair the ability of administrative offices to carry out their obligations under the Career Service Program.

## Approved For Release CIA-RDP78-00699A000100010010-6

Security mation

7. Career service planning and fulfillment of such plans will largely depend on the extent to which the Administrative Office Chiefs control the Tables of Organization of field activities. The responsibility, of course, must be joint, but if Chiefs of Stations chance T/O's and grades without the concurrence and knowledge of the Administrative Office Chiefs, career service could be seriously impaired thereby. What consideration will this phase of the career management program be given?

		1	SECRE	<u>.T</u>		
		TRANS	MINTAL	SL		
			· E	Decen	aber 19	53
Exec	s. Se	cy., CI	A Caree	r Serv	rice Bo	ard
UILDING				RO	OM NO.	1
REMARKS	:			1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
COB		areer Se	hing quervice)	÷		
ccs	re Ca	rono				
	re Ca	rono	ervice)			
	re Ca Ch Ca	rono areer Se	ervice)			4, 1
ccs	Ch Ca	rono areer Se	ervice)	e V		
	Chai	rono areer Se	rvice)	e V		30ard